

ORIGINAL PAPER

International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health 2018;31(1):91–111 https://doi.org/10.13075/ijomeh.1896.00901

DETERMINATION OF PAIN IN MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM REPORTED BY OFFICE WORKERS AND THE PAIN RISK FACTORS

SEVİM CELİK¹, KADİR CELİK², ELİF DİRİMESE¹, NURTEN TASDEMİR¹, TARIK ARIK³, and İBRAHİM BÜYÜKKARA⁴

¹ Bulent Ecevit University, Zonguldak, Turkey

Health Science Faculty, Department of Nursing

² Gökcebey Department of Revenue, Zonguldak, Turkey

³ American Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

General Intensive Care Unit

⁴ Dokuz Eylul University Hospital, Izmir, Turkey

General Surgery Clinic

Abstract

Objectives: This research was conducted as a cross-sectional descriptive study aimed at determining the existence of pain in the musculoskeletal system among office workers and the reasons for it. **Material and Methods:** The sample consisted of 528 office workers. Collection of data was achieved using a questionnaire prepared by the researchers in line with information from the literature. **Results:** The male and female office workers most frequently complained of pain in the lower back (55.1%), neck (52.5%) and back (53%). It was seen that out of the variables relating to the work environment, those which had the most significant effect on muscular-skeletal system pain were sitting at the desk for a long time without a break, working sitting on a chair that supported only the lumbar area and the arms, having the computer mouse at a distance from the keyboard, having the head inclined at 45° when working, working holding both forearms above the level of the desk, not taking exercise in daily life, and having a moderate or extremely stressful workplace (p < 0.05). **Conclusions:** The conclusion has been reached in this study that in order for office workers not to suffer musculoskeletal system pain, it is very important that the working environment should be ergonomically arranged and that various measures should be taken to ensure healthy life behavior. Int J Occup Med Environ Health 2018;31(1):91–111

Key words:

Pain, Computer users, Ergonomics, Work-related musculoskeletal complaints, Office worker, Work design

Received: January 23, 2016. Accepted: November 9, 2016.

Corresponding author: E. Dirimese, Bulent Ecevit University, Health Science Faculty, Department of Nursing, İbn-i Sina Campus Esenköy Street, 67600 Kozlu, Zonguldak, Turkey (e-mail: elifim67@yahoo.com).

INTRODUCTION

In industrially developed nations, disorders of the musculoskeletal system are progressively increasing and are resulting in significant costs. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders are defined as damage to or disease of muscles, nerves, tendons, joints, and cartilage or spinal discs resulting from risk factors in the working environment. Studies have shown that these diseases increase as the average age of the society rises [1].

In Turkey, musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) are ranked 3rd among disabilities at 9.9%, and these disorders are accepted legally as work-related diseases. Despite this, employees and employers have insufficient knowledge of the frequency of MSDs, risk factors, insurance payments for lost work, preventive training, and the effectiveness of ergonomic approaches [1–3]. In the case of MSDs, pain is a frequently observed symptom. Pain is a subjective experience, and determining its presence in working life and the factors which cause it is of great importance in taking the necessary corrective measures and in planning early treatment approaches [4,5].

Industrialized countries have recently seen a dramatic increase in the frequency and cost of MSDs; the attention of employees, employers, the government, health care systems and insurance companies has been drawn to this topic, and there has been an increase the number of studies on ergonomic programs and rehabilitation approaches examining risk factors, ergonomics education and ergonomic approaches. Awareness of protection and ergonomics has begun to rise and ergonomic education and ergonomic approaches are beginning to be applied in workplaces [6,7]. It is known that work-related MSDs arise in tendons, muscles, nerves and other soft tissues from damage-causing enforced repeated actions, holding the body in an unsuitable position, a stressful working life, working for long periods without a break, and ergonomic inadequacies [8,9]. The early symptoms of MSDs may appear suddenly or they may emerge slowly over a long period. The most

important complaint of workers is acutely developing or chronically continuing pain. Other early symptoms are swelling, numbness, rash, weakness or restriction of movement. Early recognition of the symptoms of work-related MSD damage is important for reducing damage which may occur in the early stages and for providing prompt treatment [10,11].

The most important element for effective ergonomics in the prevention of disorders arising in the musculoskeletal system is arranging programs in workplaces. Such a program should include keeping records of work-related illnesses and work accidents, early identification and reporting of symptoms, systematic scanning of workers' health and reporting of the results, implementing protective services such as limitations on work and giving periodic training to workers, ensuring communication between workers on every shift and workplace health teams, and not obstructing workers from reporting problems to health teams [12].

In the light of this information, this study was performed with the aim of determining the existence of and reasons for pain-related to the musculoskeletal system among office workers in the university and government organizations in the provincial capital of Zonguldak, and of providing a guide for training to be conducted on this topic.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Type of study

The research was conducted as a cross-sectional and descriptive study.

Population and sample of the study

The population of the study consisted of the 720 office workers employed between February and May 2014 in the offices of the university, National Education Ministry, Social Security Institution, law courts, police, municipality and tax offices of the provincial capital of Zonguldak province, Turkey.

In determining the research sample, it was intended to reach the whole population by applying the calculation method. The sample was formed from 528 office workers who could speak, understand and write Turkish, had no communication problems, worked at a desk, were not on leave or sick when the research was conducted, and took part voluntarily in the study. The rate of participation in the study was found to be 73.3%.

Collection of data

Collection of data was achieved using a questionnaire prepared by the researchers in line with information from the literature [13–16]. This questionnaire contained questions on the demographic data of the workers, whether they took exercise, the length of time they stood or sat continuously, the number of breaks they took, whether they performed heavy lifting while working, problems which they faced at work and stress levels, the position of their body, computer and computer mouse, the type of a chair they used, and the existence and characteristics of problems with the musculoskeletal system.

Before starting the study, written permission was obtained from the organizations where it was to be conducted, and informed oral consent was obtained from the participants. Data collection was performed by the researchers during working hours between February and May 2014 by going to the organizations which had been determined. Information was given to the participants concerning the research. The questionnaires were distributed and took approximately 15 min to complete, and were collected back the same day.

Data evaluation

Data evaluation was performed by the SPSS 18.0 program using such descriptive statistical instruments as numbers, percentages, arithmetic means and standard deviations. Multiple-linear regression analyses were conducted to assess the relationship between the characteristics of female and male office workers and pain complaints. Differences in pain complaints rates of female and male office workers were expressed as odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). A statistical significance level of 0.05 was chosen.

RESULTS

The mean age of the office workers was 38.55 ± 9.79 years old; 34.4% of female and 35.3% of male were between 32 and 42 years old, majority of them were married; 40.4% of female and 34.9% of male worked in the university, and majority of female and male had been working for 1–5 years. It may be seen in the same table that 58.5% of the female office workers had a normal body mass index while 51.2% of male were overweight, and that a half of female (50.7%) and male (48.4%) did not take exercise in their daily lives (Table 1).

The mean time spent continuously standing by the office workers was 1.88 ± 1.16 h, and the time spent sitting was 4.64 ± 2.21 h (43.7% of female and 46.9% of male took 2 or 3 breaks a day). It was found that most of the female and male office workers did not perform any exercise in the workplace, a half of female (58.1%) and male (55.8%) rarely lifted heavy weights. Furthermore, female and male office workers stated that they experienced difficulties while working and they were not the majority who did not have recurrent hard activity in the workplace and they stated that their work was moderately stressful (Table 1).

It may be seen from the Table 1 that 66.3% of female and 65.1% of male workers worked at their desks with their upper backs in a bent position; most of female (72.6%) and male (67.8%) kept both arms above the level of the desk, and one third of female (35.9%) and 40.3% of male kept both legs bent. The same table shows that most of the office workers kept their computers directly opposite themselves, and that their computer mouse (90% of female, 87.6% of male) was kept close to the keyboard.

Variable	(N =	ondents = 528) (%)]
	females $(N = 270)$	males $(N = 258)$
$Age (M \pm SD = 38.55 \pm 9.79)$		
21–31 years	79 (29.3)	76 (29.5)
32–42 years	93 (34.4)	91 (35.3)
43–53 years	83 (30.7)	62 (24.0)
\geq 54 years	15 (5.6)	29 (11.2)
Marital status		
married	178 (65.9)	196 (76.0)
unmarried	92 (34.1)	62 (24.0)
Workplace		
university	109 (40.4)	90 (34.9)
education ministry	19 (7.0)	28 (10.9)
social security institution	31 (11.5)	9 (3.5)
law court	30 (11.1)	29 (11.2)
police	16 (5.9)	45 (17.4)
municipality	29 (10.7)	31 (12.0)
tax office	36 (13.3)	26 (10.1)
Work experience		
1–5 years	72 (26.7)	85 (32.9)
6–10 years	68 (25.2)	53 (20.5)
11–15 years	34 (12.6)	30 (11.6)
16–20 years	36 (13.3)	34 (13.2)
≥ 21 years	60 (22.2)	56 (21.7)
Body mass index (BMI) ($M \pm SD = 25.44 \pm 3.85$)		
underweight (0–18.4)	5 (1.9)	2 (0.8)
normal (18.5–24.9)	158 (58.5)	85 (32.9)
overweight (25–29.9)	78 (28.9)	132 (51.2)
obese (30–39.9)	29 (10.7)	39 (15.1)
Exercise in daily life		× /
none	137 (50.7)	125 (48.4)
regular	29 (10.7)	19 (7.4)
irregular	104 (38.5)	114 (44.2)

 Table 1. Characteristics of respondents in the study aimed at determining the existence of pain in the musculoskeletal system among office workers

Variable	(N =	ondents = 528) (%)]
_	females $(N = 270)$	males $(N = 258)$
Time spent standing in workplace ($M \pm SD = 1.88 \pm 1.16$)		
0 h	21 (7.8)	21 (8.1)
1–2 h	194 (71.9)	166 (64.3)
3–4 h	52 (19.3)	65 (25.2)
\geq 5 h	3 (1.1)	6 (2.3)
Time spent continuously sitting in workplace $(M \pm SD = 4.64 \pm 2.21)$		
1–3 h	79 (29.3)	91 (35.3)
4–6 h	110 (40.7)	122 (47.3)
7–12 h	81 (30.0)	45 (17.4)
Breaks taken in workplace		
1 break	83 (30.7)	56 (21.7)
2–3 breaks	118 (43.7)	121 (46.9)
\geq 4 breaks	69 (25.6)	81 (31.4)
Exercise in workplace		
none	222 (82.2)	193 (74.8)
regular	6 (2.2)	10 (3.9)
irregular	42 (15.6)	55 (21.3)
.ifting heavy objects in workplace		
never	77 (28.5)	81 (31.4)
rarely	157 (58.1)	144 (55.8)
often	17 (6.3)	15 (5.8)
usually	17 (6.3)	16 (6.2)
all the time	2 (0.7)	2 (0.8)
Experiencing difficulties while working		
yes	192 (71.1)	168 (65.1)
no	78 (28.9)	90 (34.9)
Stress level of work		
not stressful	32 (11.9)	38 (14.7)
moderately stressful	148 (54.8)	133 (51.6)
very stressful	90 (33.3)	87 (33.7)

 Table 1. Characteristics of respondents in the study aimed at determining the existence of pain in the musculoskeletal system among office workers – cont.

Variable	(N =	ondents = 528) (%)]
	females $(N = 270)$	males $(N = 258)$
Recurrent hard activity		
yes	73 (27.0)	56 (21.7)
по	197 (73.0)	202 (78.3)
Head position when working at desk		
free	89 (33.3)	132 (51.2)
45° bent sideways	85 (31.5)	49 (19.0)
leaning forward	86 (31.9)	74 (28.7)
30° bent sideways	10 (3.7)	3 (1.2)
Upper back position when working at desk	. ,	· · ·
straight	74 (27.4)	71 (27.5)
bent	179 (66.3)	168 (65.1)
other	17 (6.3)	19 (7.4)
Arm position when working at desk		
both forearms above the level of the desk	196 (72.6)	175 (67.8)
forearms at the level of the desk	28 (10.4)	21 (8.1)
one forearm above the level of the desk	46 (17.0)	62 (24.0)
Leg position when working at desk		
straight, with both legs at the same level one leg over the other	80 (29.6)	84 (32.6)
legs bent	80 (29.6)	48 (18.6)
feet parallel to the ground and thighs	97 (35.9)	104 (40.3)
supported	13 (4.8)	22 (8.5)
Computer position when working at desk		
exactly in front	184 (68.1)	204 (79.1)
at 90° to the side	8 (3.0)	5 (1.9)
at 30° to the side	78 (28.9)	49 (19.0)
Position of computer mouse when working at desk		
near the keyboard	243 (90.0)	226 (87.6)
distant from the keyboard	27 (10.0)	32 (12.4)
Type of chair when working at desk		
stool	21 (7.8)	9 (3.5)
chair supporting the head, upper and lower back, and arms	73 (27.0)	49 (19.0)
chair supporting the lower back	53 (19.6)	60 (23.3)
chair supporting the lower back and the arms	123 (45.6)	140 (54.3)

Table 1. Characteristics of respondents in the study aimed at determining the existence of pain in the musculoskeletal system among office workers – cont.

M - mean; SD - standard deviation.

Approximately a half of the female office workers (45.6%) and a half of male (54.3%) sat on a chair which supported the lower back and the arms when working (Table 1).

The rate of pain complaints among female office workers for lower back (OR = 0.64, 95% CI: 0.45–0.91), neck (OR = 0.45, 95% CI: 0.32–0.64), upper back (OR = 0.45, 95% CI: 0.31–0.63), shoulder (OR = 0.44, 95% CI: 0.31–0.64), foot (OR = 0.55, 95% CI: 0.38–0.80), arm (OR = 0.52, 95% CI: 0.35–0.78) and wrist (OR = 0.46, 95% CI: 0.30–0.68) was significantly higher than in the case of male officer workers (p < 0.05) (Table 2).

It was established that the most frequently experienced pain among the office workers was lower back pain (55.1%) and upper back pain (53%); lower and upper back and neck pain had mostly been experienced for 12 months or more, and most stated that they had not received treatment.

Analyses of the association between lower back pain and a female office worker revealed that lower back pain was significantly associated with recurrent hard activity in the workplace (p = 0.000). In the multiple-regression analyses among female office workers, there was no significant association between other characteristics and lower back pain complaints (p > 0.05) (Table 3). In the same table, factors such as recurrent hard activity in the workplace, exercise in the workplace, lifting heavy objects in the workplace and the position of a mouse when working at a desk were found to be independently associated with the prevalence of lower back pain among male office workers (p < 0.05). In the multiple-regression analyses among male office workers, there was no significant association between other characteristics and lower back pain complaints (p > 0.05) (Table 3).

A multivariate analysis found that neck pain complaints were significantly associated with marital status, time spent continuously sitting in the workplace, the number of breaks taken in the workplace of female office workers (p < 0.05). Neck pain was significantly associated with recurrent hard activity in the workplace of male of-

Pain localization	(N =	ndents 528) %)]	OR ^b	95% CI	р
	females ^a (N = 270)	males $(N = 258)$			
Lower back	163 (60.4)	128 (49.6)	0.64	0.45-0.91	0.013*
Neck	167 (61.9)	110 (42.6)	0.45	0.32-0.64	0.000*
Upper back	169 (62.6)	111 (43.0)	0.45	0.31-0.63	0.000*
Shoulder	135 (50.0)	80 (31.0)	0.44	0.31-0.64	0.000*
Foot	123 (45.6)	96 (37.2)	0.55	0.38-0.80	0.002*
Leg	107 (39.6)	69 (26.7)	0.70	0.50-1.00	0.052
Arm	89 (33.0)	53 (20.5)	0.52	0.35-0.78	0.001*
Wrist	91 (33.7)	49 (19.0)	0.46	0.30-0.68	0.000*

 Table 2. Pain in the musculoskeletal system reported by the office workers

OR - odds ratio; CI - confidence interval.

^b Odds ratios for pain complaints.

* p < 0.05.

^a The reference group.

			(N =	remates (N = 270)				Mi $(N =$	Males $(N = 258)$	
	В	SE	β	95% CI	b	В	SE	β	95% CI	b
Marital status	0.09	0.07	0.09	-0.04-0.23	0.193	0.03	0.08	0.02	-0.13 - 0.19	0.700
Exercise on daily life	0.03	0.03	0.07	-0.02 - 0.10	0.262	-0.04	0.03	-0.09	-0.11 - 0.02	0.167
Body mass index (BMI)	0.00	0.04	0.00	-0.0-00.0	0.993	-0.04	0.04	-0.06	-0.13 - 0.04	0.333
Age	-0.01	0.05	-0.02	-0.12 - 0.09	0.802	0.02	0.05	0.04	-0.08 - 0.13	0.639
Working experience	-0.01	0.03	-0.04	-0.08-0.05	0.691	0.01	0.03	0.04	-0.05 - 0.08	0.658
Time spent standing in workplace	0.08	0.06	0.09	-0.03 - 0.20	0.180	0.06	0.05	0.08	-0.04 - 0.17	0.238
Time spent continuously sitting in workplace	-0.07	0.04	-0.12	-0.16 - 0.00	0.080	-0.03	0.04	-0.05	-0.13 - 0.05	0.458
Workplace	0.00	0.01	0.02	-0.01 - 0.02	0.739	0.00	0.01	0.02	-0.01 - 0.02	0.789
Recurrent hard activity in workplace	0.30	0.07	0.27	0.16-0.44	0.000*	0.18	0.08	0.15	0.02-0.34	0.023^{*}
Number of breaks taken in workplace	-0.03	0.03	-0.05	-0.10 - 0.04	0.407	-0.03	0.03	-0.05	-0.10 - 0.04	0.402
Exercise in workplace	0.05	0.04	0.07	-0.03 - 0.13	0.237	0.09	0.03	0.14	0.01 - 0.16	0.020^{*}
Lifting heavy objects in workplace	0.00	0.03	0.00	-0.08-0.07	0.908	-0.09	0.04	-0.15	-0.17 - (-0.00)	0.030^{*}
Stress level of work	-0.01	0.05	-0.01	-0.10 - 0.08	0.833	-0.06	0.05	-0.08	-0.15 - 0.03	0.234
Experiencing difficulties while working	0.00	0.07	0.00	-0.13-0.15	0.923	0.00	0.06	0.00	-0.13 - 0.13	066.0
Head position when working at desk	0.05	0.03	0.09	-0.01 - 0.11	0.112	-0.05	0.03	-0.09	-0.12 - 0.01	0.151
Arm position when working at desk	-0.01	0.04	-0.02	-0.0-0.06	0.749	-0.00	0.03	-0.00	-0.08 - 0.07	0.930
Leg position when working at desk	-0.01	0.03	-0.03	-0.08-0.05	0.633	-0.03	0.03	-0.06	-0.09 - 0.03	0.313
Upper back position when working at desk	-0.02	0.05	-0.02	-0.13 - 0.08	0.655	0.01	0.05	0.02	-0.09 - 0.13	0.737
Computer position when working at desk	-0.04	0.03	-0.09	-0.11-0.02	0.164	-0.05	0.04	-0.08	-0.13 - 0.02	0.197
Position of mouse when working at desk	0.07	0.10	0.04	-0.14-0.27	0.513	-0.22	0.09	-0.14	-0.41 - (-0.03)	0.022^{*}
Type of chair when working at desk	-0.07	0.03	-0.15	-0.14-0.00	0.051	0.00	0.04	0.01	-0.07-0.09	0.855

B – regression coefficient; SE – standard error; β –standardized coefficient; CI – confidence interval. * p < 0.05.

Table 3. Multiple regression analysis for predicting the relation between characteristics of the office workers and lower back pain complaints

IJOMEH 2018;31(1)

fice workers (p < 0.05). In the multiple-regression analyses among female and male office workers, there was no significant association between other characteristics and neck pain complaints (p > 0.05) (Table 4).

In the multiple-regression, marital status and recurrent hard activity in the workplace had the positive association with upper back pain complaints of female office workers (p < 0.05). However, arm position at a desk had negative association with upper back pain complains of female (p < 0.05). In the same table, upper back pain complaints were significantly associated with exercise in the workplace and the head position when working at a desk of male office workers (p < 0.05) (Table 5).

The position of a mouse at a desk and the type of a chair were associated with shoulder pain for female (p < 0.05). Workplace and experiencing difficulties while working were associated with shoulder pain for male (p < 0.05). Other predictors were not associated with shoulder pain for both female and male office workers (p > 0.05) (Table 6). The body mass index (BMI) group, time spent continuously sitting in the workplace and stress level of work had the positive association with leg pain complaints of female office workers (p < 0.05). The type of a chair had negative association with leg pain complaints of female (p < 0.05). On the other hand, leg pain complaints were significantly negatively associated with workplace and positively associated with experiencing difficulties while working for male office workers (p < 0.05) (Table 7).

Analyses of the association between foot pain and female office worker revealed that foot pain was significantly associated with numerous predictors such as exercise in daily life, working experience, time spent continuously sitting in the workplace, recurrent hard activity in the workplace and arm position when working at a desk for female office workers (p < 0.05). For male workers, age group, lifting heavy objects in the workplace and head position when working at a desk had the negative association with foot pain complaints (p < 0.05). In the same table, working

experience and recurrent hard activity in the workplace had the negative association with foot pain complaints for male office workers (p < 0.05) (Table 8).

According to the Table 9, only the position of a mouse at a desk was associated with arm pain for male (p < 0.05). Factors related to work were associated with arm pain for female (p < 0.05).

Workplace and arm position when working at a desk were the association with wrist pain complaints for female office workers (p < 0.05). Individual characteristics such as exercise in daily life and BMI group were the association with wrist pain complaints for male office workers (p < 0.05) (Table 10).

DISCUSSION

This cross-sectional descriptive study found a high occurrence of problems with pain of the musculoskeletal system among office workers employed at 9 different institutions in the city of Zonguldak. It is also determined by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) standards. The ISO 9241 is a multi-part standard covering ergonomics of human-computer interaction. Workstations that meet ISO 9241 Part 5 Workstation layout and postural requirements will generally satisfy this design requirement [17]. The ISO 9241 Part 6 Environmental requirements specify the ergonomics requirements for the visual display terminal working environment that will provide the user with comfortable, safe and productive working conditions. It covers the visual, acoustic and thermal environments [17].

The objective is to provide a working environment that should facilitate efficient operation of the visual display terminal and provide the user with comfortable working conditions. Population data should be used in determining the ergonomic requirements. Anthropometric measurements of population data will vary according to age and gender [17]. The most frequently encountered complaints, varying between 50 and 55%, were pain in the lower back, upper back

qq

B SE β 95% CI p B 0.180 0.07 0.17 0.17 0.04-0.32 0.011* -0.13 0 0.013 0.03 0.02 -0.05-0.07 0.683 0.04-0.19 0.219 0.03	Predictor			Fen (N =	Females $N = 270$)				M =	Males V = 258)	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		В	SE	β	95% CI	b	В	SE	β	95% CI	b
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Marital status (married)	0.180	0.07	0.17	0.04-0.32	0.011^{*}	-0.13	0.08	-0.11	-0.30 - 0.02	0.095
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Exercise on daily life	0.013	0.03	0.02	-0.05 - 0.07	0.683	0.03	0.03	0.06	-0.03 - 0.09	0.334
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Body mass index (BMI)	-0.000	0.04	-0.01	-0.09-0.08	0.886	-0.01	0.04	-0.01	-0.10 - 0.07	0.800
0.000 0.03 0.00 $0.06-0.06$ 0.933 0.03 <th< td=""><td>Age</td><td>-0.020</td><td>0.05</td><td>-0.05</td><td>-0.13 - 0.07</td><td>0.599</td><td>-0.09</td><td>0.05</td><td>-0.18</td><td>-0.19 - 0.00</td><td>0.072</td></th<>	Age	-0.020	0.05	-0.05	-0.13 - 0.07	0.599	-0.09	0.05	-0.18	-0.19 - 0.00	0.072
j in workplace 0.070 0.06 0.08 $-0.04-0.19$ 0.219 0.08 $ously sitting in workplace-0.1100.04-0.18-0.20-(-0.02)0.09*0.04ously sitting in workplace0.0000.010.04-0.01-0.020.567-0.000.04viry in workplace0.0000.010.04-0.01-0.020.567-0.000.04viry in workplace0.0000.070.08-0.04-0.230.1730.30-0.06ec0.0500.040.08-0.02-0.130.1730.30-0.06ec0.0700.03-0.13-0.15-(-0.00)0.030^*-0.06ec0.0700.03-0.13-0.12-0.020.203-0.06ec0.0300.030.03-0.07-0.120.233-0.03ec0.0320.03-0.03-0.0200.03-0.02-0.00ec0.030.01-0.07-0.120.233-0.03-0.03ec0.040.030.01-0.07-0.120.233-0.03ec0.04-0.120.030.01-0.02-0.00ec0.040.03-0.020.03-0.03ec0.040.04-0.04-0.110.233-0.01ec0.040.06-0.04-0.110.03-0.03$	Working experience	0.000	0.03	0.00	-0.06-0.06	0.993	0.03	0.03	0.11	-0.02 - 0.10	0.266
ously sitting in workplace -0.110 0.04 -0.18 $-0.20-(-0.02)$ 0.09^* 0.04 vity in workplace 0.000 0.01 0.04 $-0.01-0.02$ 0.567 -0.00 0.04 vity in workplace 0.090 0.07 0.08 $-0.04-0.23$ 0.173 0.30 0.30 aken in workplace -0.070 0.03 -0.13 $-0.15-(-0.00)$ 0.030^* -0.06 aken in workplace -0.070 0.03 -0.13 $-0.15-(-0.00)$ 0.030^* -0.06 ce -0.070 0.03 -0.03 -0.03 -0.023 0.03 -0.06 ce -0.070 0.03 -0.03 -0.022 0.203 -0.03 -0.06 ce $-0.07-0.12$ 0.03 -0.02 0.03 -0.02 0.03 -0.03 ce $-0.07-0.12$ 0.03 -0.02 0.03 -0.02 0.03 -0.03 utices while working at desk 0.000 0.03 0.01 $-0.05-0.07$ 0.03 -0.01 working at desk 0.000 0.03 0.01 $-0.05-0.07$ 0.03 -0.01 when working at desk -0.020 0.03 0.03 -0.020 -0.03 0.000 0.03 -0.06 $-0.07-0.11$ 0.238 -0.01 0.000 0.03 0.00 $-0.04-0.11$ 0.238 -0.01 working at desk -0.020 0.03 -0.02 0.03 -0.03 -0.03 when working at desk $-$	Time spent standing in workplace	0.070	0.06	0.08	-0.04 - 0.19	0.219	0.08	0.05	0.11	-0.01 - 0.19	0.100
0.000 0.01 0.04 $-0.01-0.02$ 0.567 -0.00 vity in workplace 0.090 0.07 0.08 $-0.04-0.23$ 0.173 0.30 aken in workplace -0.070 0.03 -0.13 -0.173 0.30^* -0.06 ce -0.070 0.03 -0.13 -0.173 0.207 0.06 ce -0.050 0.03 -0.03 -0.13 0.207 0.06 ce 0.050 0.03 -0.08 $-0.02-0.02$ 0.06 0.06 ce 0.020 0.03 -0.03 -0.02 0.03 0.07 0.03 sin workplace 0.020 0.03 -0.03 0.027 0.03 0.01 0.003 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01	Time spent continuously sitting in workplace	-0.110	0.04	-0.18	-0.20-(-0.02)	0.009*	0.04	0.04	0.06	-0.04 - 0.13	0.352
vity in workplace 0.090 0.07 0.08 $-0.04-0.23$ 0.173 0.30 aken in workplace -0.070 0.03 -0.13 $-0.15-(-0.00)$ 0.030^* -0.06 ce 0.050 0.04 0.08 $-0.03-0.13$ 0.207 0.05 0.05 ce 0.020 0.03 -0.08 $-0.02-0.02$ 0.203 -0.06 ce 0.020 0.03 -0.08 $-0.12-0.02$ 0.203 -0.03 s in workplace 0.020 0.07 -0.03 -0.03 -0.03 -0.03 -0.012 0.020 ulties while working at desk 0.000 0.03 0.01 $-0.05-0.07$ 0.858 -0.01 working at desk 0.000 0.03 0.01 $-0.05-0.07$ 0.885 0.01 working at desk 0.000 0.03 0.02 0.02 $0.03-0.80$ 0.02 -0.03 when working at desk 0.020 0.03 -0.05 $0.03-0.80$ 0.001 -0.02 when working at desk 0.020 0.03 -0.02 0.03 -0.03 -0.03 hen working at desk -0.020 0.03 -0.02 0.03 -0.02 -0.03 hen working at desk 0.020 0.03 -0.02 0.03 -0.03 -0.03 hen working at desk -0.020 0.03 -0.02 0.03 -0.02 -0.03	Workplace	0.000	0.01	0.04	-0.01 - 0.02	0.567	-0.00	0.01	-0.06	-0.02 - 0.01	0.421
aken in workplace -0.070 0.03 $-0.15 - (-0.00)$ 0.030^* -0.06 ce $0.03 - 0.13$ $0.03 - 0.13$ 0.207 0.05 0.03 -0.05 $0.03 - 0.03$ -0.03 $0.03 - 0.03$ -0.03 0.003 -0.03 0.003 -0.03 $0.007 - 0.03$ -0.03 $-0.07 - 0.02$ 0.020 -0.03 $-0.07 - 0.02$ 0.023 -0.03 $-0.018 - 0.012$ 0.033 -0.03 $-0.018 - 0.012$ 0.033 $-0.018 - 0.012$ 0.03 $-0.018 - 0.012$ 0.033 $-0.018 - 0.012$ 0.03 $-0.018 - 0.012$ 0.03 $-0.018 - 0.012$ 0.03 -0.012 0.03 -0.012 0.03 -0.012 0.03 -0.012 0.03 -0.012 0.03 -0.012 0.011 -0.012 0.011 -0.012 0.021 -0.012 0.021 -0.012 0.021 -0.012 -0.012 -0.012 -0.012 -0.012 -0.012 -0.012 -0.012 -0.012 -0.012 -0.012	Recurrent hard activity in workplace	0.090	0.07	0.08	-0.04 - 0.23	0.173	0.30	0.08	0.25	0.14-0.45	0.000^{*}
ce 0.050 0.04 0.08 $-0.03-0.13$ 0.207 0.05 0.03 -0.03 0.03 -0.03 0.03 -0.03 0.03 -0.03 0.03 -0.03 0.03 -0.03 0.03 $-0.07-0.12$ 0.203 -0.03 0.01 -0.03 0.02 0.03 $-0.07-0.12$ 0.580 -0.00 100 100 100 -0.03 0.01 -0.03 0.01 -0.03 0.01 -0.03 0.01 -0.03 0.01 -0.03 0.01 -0.00 0.01 0.00 0.03 0.01 -0.03 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 <t< td=""><td>Number of breaks taken in workplace</td><td>-0.070</td><td>0.03</td><td>-0.13</td><td>-0.15 - (-0.00)</td><td>0.030^{*}</td><td>-0.06</td><td>0.03</td><td>-0.10</td><td>-0.13 - 0.01</td><td>0.098</td></t<>	Number of breaks taken in workplace	-0.070	0.03	-0.13	-0.15 - (-0.00)	0.030^{*}	-0.06	0.03	-0.10	-0.13 - 0.01	0.098
s in workplace -0.050 0.03 -0.08 -0.12-0.02 0.203 -0.03 -0.03 -0.012-0.02 0.580 -0.00 -0.00 -0.02 0.05 0.03 -0.018-0.112 0.580 -0.01 -0.03 0.07 -0.03 0.07 -0.03 0.01 -0.05-0.07 0.858 -0.01 -0.05-0.07 0.858 -0.01 -0.05 0.02 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 -0.06-0.07 0.855 0.02 -0.01 -0.05 0.02 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 -0.06-0.07 0.885 0.02 -0.03 0.04 0.02 0.03 -0.05 0.03 -0.03 0.03 -0.03 0.03 -0.03 0.03 -0.03 0.03	Exercise in workplace	0.050	0.04	0.08	-0.03 - 0.13	0.207	0.05	0.03	0.09	-0.01 - 0.13	0.128
0.020 0.05 0.03 $-0.07-0.12$ 0.580 -0.00 Ilties while working -0.030 0.07 -0.03 $-0.18-0.10$ 0.593 0.07 1 working at desk 0.000 0.03 0.01 $-0.05-0.07$ 0.858 -0.01 0.01 working at desk 0.000 0.03 0.01 $-0.05-0.07$ 0.858 -0.01 0.01 working at desk 0.000 0.03 0.00 $-0.06-0.07$ 0.885 0.02 0.02 1 when working at desk 0.020 0.03 0.02 0.03 $0.03-0.80$ 0.03 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03 $0.03-0.80$ 0.03	Lifting heavy objects in workplace	-0.050	0.03	-0.08	-0.12-0.02	0.203	-0.03	0.04	-0.04	-0.11 - 0.05	0.474
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Stress level of work	0.020	0.05	0.03	-0.07 - 0.12	0.580	-0.00	0.04	-0.01	-0.10 - 0.08	0.879
0.000 0.03 0.01 -0.05-0.07 0.858 -0.01 (0.040 0.04 0.06 -0.04-0.11 0.328 0.01 (0.000 0.03 0.00 -0.06-0.07 0.885 0.02 (0.020 0.05 0.02 0.13-0.85 0.693 -0.03 (-0.020 0.03 -0.05 0.03-0.80 0.400 -0.07 (-0.160 0.10 -0.10 0.04-0.79 0.129 0.09 (Experiencing difficulties while working	-0.030	0.07	-0.03	-0.18 - 0.10	0.593	0.07	0.06	0.07	-0.05 - 0.21	0.256
0.040 0.04 0.06 -0.04-0.11 0.328 0.01 (0.000 0.03 0.00 -0.06-0.07 0.885 0.02 (0.020 0.05 0.02 0.13-0.85 0.693 -0.03 (-0.020 0.03 -0.05 0.03-0.80 0.400 -0.07 (-0.160 0.10 -0.10 0.04-0.79 0.129 0.09 (Head position when working at desk	0.000	0.03	0.01	-0.05 - 0.07	0.858	-0.01	0.03	-0.02	-0.08-0.05	0.746
0.000 0.03 0.00 -0.06-0.07 0.885 0.02 () k 0.020 0.05 0.02 0.13-0.85 0.693 -0.03 () -0.020 0.03 -0.05 0.03-0.80 0.400 -0.07 () -0.160 0.10 -0.10 0.040.79 0.129 0.09 ()	Arm position when working at desk	0.040	0.04	0.06	-0.04 - 0.11	0.328	0.01	0.03	0.01	-0.06-0.08	0.781
k 0.020 0.05 0.02 0.13-0.85 0.693 -0.03 (-0.020 0.03 -0.05 0.03-0.80 0.400 -0.07 (-0.160 0.10 -0.10 0.04-0.79 0.129 0.09 (Leg position when working at desk	0.000	0.03	0.00	-0.06-0.07	0.885	0.02	0.03	0.04	-0.04-0.08	0.505
-0.020 0.03 -0.05 0.03 -0.80 0.400 -0.07 (-0.160 0.10 -0.10 0.04 -0.79 0.129 0.09 (Upper back position when working at desk	0.020	0.05	0.02	0.13-0.85	0.693	-0.03	0.05	-0.04	-0.14-0.07	0.524
-0.160 0.10 -0.10 0.04 -0.79 0.129 0.09 (Computer position when working at desk	-0.020	0.03	-0.05	0.03-0.80	0.400	-0.07	0.04	-0.11	-0.15 - 0.01	0.092
	Position of mouse when working at desk	-0.160	0.10	-0.10	0.04-0.79	0.129	0.09	0.09	0.06	-0.09-0.28	0.328
Type of chair when working at desk –0.040 0.03 –0.09 0.02–0.55 0.239 0.04 0.02	Type of chair when working at desk	-0.040	0.03	-0.09	0.02-0.55	0.239	0.04	0.04	0.08	-0.03 - 0.13	0.246

B – regression coefficient; SE – standard error; β –standardized coefficient; CI – confidence interval. * p < 0.05 .

Table 4. Multiple regression analysis for predicting the relation between characteristics of female and male office workers and neck pain complaints

Predictor			Fer (N =	Females $(N = 270)$				N Z	Males $(N = 258)$	
	В	SE	β	95% CI	d	В	SE	β	95% CI	d
Marital status	0.23	0.06	0.22	0.09-0.36	0.001^{*}	0.11	0.08	0.10	-0.04 - 0.27	0.154
Exercise on daily life	-0.01	0.03	-0.03	-0.07 - 0.04	0.572	-0.03	0.03	-0.06	-0.09 - 0.03	0.320
Body mass index (BMI)	0.01	0.04	0.02	-0.07 - 0.10	0.754	-0.07	0.04	-0.11	-0.16 - 0.01	0.083
Age	-0.07	0.05	-0.14	-0.18 - 0.02	0.137	-0.03	0.05	-0.07	-0.13 - 0.06	0.464
Working experience	0.04	0.03	0.14	-0.02 - 0.11	0.179	0.04	0.03	0.13	-0.02 - 0.10	0.176
Time spent standing in workplace	-0.06	0.05	-0.07	-0.17 - 0.05	0.276	0.08	0.05	0.10	-0.01 - 0.19	0.107
Time spent continuously sitting in workplace	-0.00	0.04	-0.01	-0.0-00.0-	0.825	0.06	0.04	0.08	-0.03 - 0.15	0.195
Workplace	0.00	0.01	0.04	-0.01 - 0.02	0.557	-0.00	0.01	-0.03	-0.02 - 0.01	0.629
Recurrent hard activity in workplace	0.36	0.06	0.33	0.23-0.50	0.000*	0.13	0.07	0.11	-0.02 - 0.28	0.094
Number of breaks taken in workplace	0.05	0.03	0.09	-0.01 - 0.12	0.142	0.00	0.03	0.00	-0.06-0.07	0.966
Exercise in workplace	0.05	0.04	0.08	-0.02 - 0.13	0.161	0.11	0.03	0.19	0.04 - 0.18	0.002^{*}
Lifting heavy objects in workplace	0.00	0.03	0.00	-0.07-0.07	0.951	-0.02	0.04	-0.03	-0.10 - 0.05	0.592
Stress level of work	-0.04	0.04	-0.06	-0.13 - 0.04	0.339	-0.08	0.04	-0.11	-0.17 - 0.01	0.091
Experiencing difficulties while working	-0.00	0.07	-0.00	-0.14-0.13	096.0	-0.00	0.06	-0.00	-0.13 - 0.12	0.946
Head position when working at desk	0.05	0.03	0.09	-0.01 - 0.11	0.113	-0.07	0.03	-0.13	-0.14 - (-0.00)	0.030^{*}
Arm position when working at desk	-0.10	0.03	-0.15	-0.17 - (-0.02)	0.011^{*}	-0.02	0.03	-0.03	-0.09 - 0.05	0.579
Leg position when working at desk	-0.00	0.03	-0.00	-0.06-0.06	0.891	0.04	0.03	0.08	-0.01 - 0.10	0.180
Upper back position when working at desk	-0.01	0.05	-0.01	-0.12 - 0.09	0.757	-0.02	0.05	-0.03	-0.13 - 0.07	0.601
Computer position when working at desk	-0.00	0.03	-0.00	-0.06-0.06	0.941	-0.00	0.04	-0.00	-0.08 - 0.07	0.893
Position of mouse when working at desk	-0.03	0.10	-0.02	-0.23-0.16	0.749	-0.22	0.09	-0.14	-0.40 - (-0.03)	0.019^{*}
Type of chair when working at desk	0.03	0.03	0.07	-0.03 - 0.10	0.342	0.07	0.04	0.13	-0.00-0.15	0.068

Table 5. Multiple regression analysis for predicting the relation between characteristics of the office workers and upper back pain complaints

B – regression coefficient; SE – standard error; β –standardized coefficient; CI – confidence interval. * p<0.05.

IJOMEH 2018;31(1)

			Iten (N =	Females $(N = 270)$				Ma Ma	Males V = 258)	
	В	SE	β	95% CI	d	В	SE	β	95% CI	d
Marital status	0.08	0.07	0.07	-0.05-0.22	0.253	0.04	0.07	0.03	-0.10 - 0.18	0.581
Exercise on daily life	-0.05	0.03	-0.10	-0.11 - 0.01	0.102	0.02	0.03	0.05	-0.03 - 0.08	0.393
Body mass index (BMI)	0.03	0.04	0.04	-0.05 - 0.12	0.465	-0.10	0.04	-0.15	-0.18 - 0.02	0.012
Age	-0.07	0.05	-0.14	-0.18 - 0.02	0.149	-0.04	0.04	-0.08	-0.13 - 0.05	0.373
Working experience	0.05	0.03	0.15	-0.01 - 0.12	0.128	0.02	0.03	0.07	-0.03 - 0.08	0.488
Time spent standing in workplace	0.01	0.06	0.01	-0.10 - 0.12	0.868	0.06	0.04	0.08	-0.03 - 0.15	0.200
Time spent continuously sitting in workplace	-0.02	0.04	-0.03	-0.10-0.06	0.648	0.01	0.04	0.02	-0.06 - 0.10	0.711
Workplace	-0.01	0.01	-0.13	-0.03 - 0.00	0.073	-0.02	0.01	-0.16	-0.04 - 0.00	0.026^{*}
Recurrent hard activity in workplace	-0.01	0.07	-0.01	-0.15 - 0.12	0.802	0.03	0.07	0.03	-0.10 - 0.18	0.596
Number of breaks taken in workplace	0.00	0.03	0.01	-0.06-0.07	0.839	0.03	0.03	0.06	-0.03 - 0.09	0.309
Exercise in workplace	-0.07	0.04	-0.10	-0.15 - 0.01	0.090	-0.00	0.03	-0.01	-0.07 - 0.06	0.858
Lifting heavy objects in workplace	0.00	0.03	0.00	-0.07 - 0.07	0.961	-0.02	0.03	-0.04	-0.10 - 0.04	0.465
Stress level of work	-0.06	0.04	-0.07	-0.15 - 0.03	0.218	-0.08	0.04	-0.12	-0.17 - 0.00	0.057
Experiencing difficulties while working	-0.01	0.07	-0.01	-0.15 - 0.13	0.882	0.17	0.06	0.18	0.05 - 0.30	0.004^{*}
Head position when working at desk	-0.05	0.03	-0.09	-0.11 - 0.01	0.121	0.00	0.03	0.00	-0.06-0.06	0.986
Arm position when working at desk	-0.09	0.04	-0.13	-0.17 - (-0.01)	0.025	0.01	0.03	0.02	-0.05 - 0.08	0.746
Leg position when working at desk	0.03	0.03	0.06	-0.03 - 0.10	0.318	-0.04	0.02	-0.10	-0.10 - 0.00	0.078
Upper back position when working at desk	0.05	0.05	0.05	-0.05 - 0.16	0.357	-0.03	0.05	-0.04	-0.13 - 0.06	0.486
Computer position when working at desk	-0.04	0.03	-0.08	-0.11 - 0.02	0.199	0.03	0.03	0.05	-0.04 - 0.10	0.378
Position of mouse when working at desk	-0.33	0.10	-0.20	-0.54-(-0.12)	0.002^{*}	-0.08	0.08	-0.05	-0.25 - 0.08	0.338
Type of chair when working at desk	0.11	0.03	0.23	0.04 - 0.18	0.002^{*}	0.05	0.03	0.11	-0.01 - 0.13	0.125

Table 6. Multiple regression analysis for predicting the relation between characteristics of the workers and shoulder pain complaints

B – regression coefficient; SE – standard error; β –standardized coefficient; CI – confidence interval. * p < 0.05.

Predictor			Ϋ́Υ	Females $(N = 270)$				M = N	Males $(N = 258)$	
	В	SE	β	95% CI	b	В	SE	β	95% CI	b
Marital status	0.11	0.07	0.11	-0.020 - 0.250	0.103	0.07	0.08	0.06	-0.09 - 0.23	0.387
Exercise on daily life	-0.03	0.03	-0.05	-0.090 - 0.030	0.363	0.01	0.03	0.03	-0.04 - 0.08	0.572
Body mass index (BMI)	-0.14	0.04	-0.19	-0.230 - (-0.040)	0.003*	0.01	0.04	0.02	-0.07 - 0.10	0.728
Age	-0.02	0.05	-0.04	-0.130 - 0.080	0.631	-0.08	0.05	-0.16	-0.18 - 0.01	0.107
Working experience	0.03	0.03	0.09	-0.030 - 0.100	0.350	0.04	0.03	0.12	-0.02 - 0.10	0.226
Time spent standing in workplace	-0.06	0.06	-0.06	-0.180 - 0.050	0.307	-0.07	0.05	-0.09	-0.18 - 0.02	0.151
Time spent continuously sitting in workplace	-0.08	0.04	-0.13	-0.170 - 0.000	0.043*	-0.01	0.04	-0.02	-0.11 - 0.07	0.687
Workplace	0.01	0.01	0.07	-0.000 - 0.030	0.301	-0.02	0.01	-0.17	-0.04 - (-0.00)	0.025^{*}
Recurrent hard activity in workplace	0.13	0.07	0.11	-0.000 - 0.270	0.060	0.08	0.07	0.07	-0.07 - 0.23	0.290
Number of breaks taken in workplace	0.02	0.03	0.04	-0.040 - 0.090	0.459	-0.00	0.03	-0.00	-0.07 - 0.06	0.977
Exercise in workplace	-0.05	0.04	-0.08	-0.130 - 0.020	0.190	-0.01	0.03	-0.02	-0.08-0.06	0.749
Lifting heavy objects in workplace	-0.04	0.03	-0.06	-0.110 - 0.030	0.294	-0.06	0.04	-0.11	-0.14 - 0.01	0.100
Stress level of work	-0.14	0.04	-0.18	-0.240 - (-0.050)	0.003*	0.05	0.04	0.06	-0.04 - 0.14	0.309
Experiencing difficulties while working	-0.01	0.07	-0.01	-0.160 - 0.120	0.824	0.14	0.06	0.14	0.01 - 0.27	0.033^{*}
Head position when working at desk	0.02	0.03	0.03	-0.040 - 0.080	0.540	-0.06	0.03	-0.12	-0.13 - 0.00	0.050
Arm position when working at desk	0.01	0.04	0.01	-0.060-0.090	0.787	0.03	0.03	0.06	-0.04 - 0.10	0.366
Leg position when working at desk	-0.00	0.03	-0.00	-0.070 - 0.060	0.888	-0.03	0.03	-0.07	-0.09-0.02	0.240
Upper back position when working at desk	-0.05	0.05	-0.05	-0.160 - 0.050	0.344	0.06	0.05	0.07	-0.04 - 0.17	0.239
Computer position when working at desk	-0.01	0.03	-0.03	-0.086 - 0.050	0.608	-0.00	0.04	-0.01	-0.08-0.07	0.868
Position of mouse when working at desk	-0.06	0.10	-0.04	-0.277 - 0.130	0.514	-0.01	0.09	-0.01	-0.20 - 0.17	0.866
Type of chair when working at desk	0.08	0.03	0.17	0.010 - 0.150	0.026^{*}	0.00	0.04	0.00	-0.07 - 0.08	0.921

Table 7. Multiple regression analysis for predicting the relation between characteristics of the office workers and leg pain complaints

Predictor			Fer (N =	Females $(N = 270)$				$M_{\rm H}$	Males $(N = 258)$	
	В	SE	β	95% CI	d	В	SE	β	95% CI	d
Marital status	-0.07	0.070	-0.07	-0.21 - 0.06	0.275	0.03	0.07	0.03	-0.10 - 0.17	0.629
Exercise on daily life	0.07	0.030	0.14	0.00 - 0.13	0.026^{*}	0.03	0.02	0.06	-0.02 - 0.08	0.298
Body mass index (BMI) group	0.05	0.046	0.07	-0.04-0.14	0.279	-0.04	0.03	-0.06	-0.12 - 0.03	0.275
Age group	0.08	0.050	0.16	-0.01 - 0.19	0.104	-0.13	0.04	-0.29	-0.22-(-0.04)	0.003^{*}
Working experience	-0.07	0.030	-0.24	-0.14 - (-0.01)	0.023*	0.09	0.02	0.34	0.04 - 0.15	0.001^{*}
Time spent standing in workplace	-0.11	0.060	-0.13	-0.23 - 0.00	0.056	0.02	0.04	0.03	-0.06 - 0.11	0.623
Time spent continuously sitting in workplace	-0.09	0.040	-0.15	-0.18 - (-0.01)	0.027^{*}	0.00	0.04	0.00	-0.07 - 0.08	0.891
Workplace	-0.00	0.010	-0.05	-0.02 - 0.01	0.433	-0.01	0.00	-0.10	-0.03 - 0.00	0.149
Recurrent hard activity in workplace	0.17	0.070	0.16	0.03-0.31	0.013*	0.15	0.06	0.14	0.01 - 0.28	0.025^{*}
Number of breaks taken in workplace	-0.00	0.030	-0.00	-0.07 - 0.07	0.962	-0.00	0.03	-0.01	-0.06-0.05	0.855
Exercise in workplace	-0.01	0.040	-0.02	-0.09-0.06	0.705	0.01	0.03	0.02	-0.05 - 0.07	0.716
Lifting heavy objects in workplace	-0.00	0.030	-0.01	-0.08 - 0.07	0.852	-0.15	0.03	-0.28	-0.22-(-0.08)	0.000^{*}
Stress level of work	-0.08	0.050	-0.10	-0.17 - 0.01	0.107	0.03	0.04	0.05	-0.04 - 0.11	0.426
Experiencing difficulties while working	-0.04	0.070	-0.03	-0.18 - 0.10	0.566	-0.05	0.05	-0.05	-0.16 - 0.05	0.344
Head position when working at desk	0.00	0.030	0.01	-0.05 - 0.07	0.794	-0.07	0.03	-0.14	-0.13 - (-0.01)	0.016^{*}
Arm position when working at desk	-0.10	0.040	-0.16	-0.18 - (-0.02)	0.008^{*}	-0.02	0.03	-0.03	-0.08-0.04	0.549
Leg position when working at desk	0.02	0.030	0.03	-0.04 - 0.08	0.556	-0.02	0.02	-0.06	-0.07-0.02	0.289
Upper back position when working at desk	-0.01	0.050	-0.02	-0.13 - 0.09	0.735	-0.01	0.04	-0.01	-0.10 - 0.07	0.758
Computer position when working at desk	0.06	0.030	0.12	-0.00 - 0.13	0.055	-0.03	0.03	-0.06	-0.10 - 0.03	0.334
Position of mouse when working at desk	-0.08	0.100	-0.05	-0.29-0.12	0.411	-0.01	0.08	-0.00	-0.17 - 0.14	0.891
Type of chair when working at desk	0.02	0.030	0.04	-0.05-0.09	0.529	0.04	0.03	0.09	-0.02 - 0.11	0.168

Table 8. Multiple regression analysis for predicting the relation between characteristics of the office workers and foot pain complaints

B – regression coefficient; SE – standard error; β –standardized coefficient; CI – confidence interval. * p < 0.05.

Predictor			Fer (N =	Females $(N = 270)$				M = N	Males $(N = 258)$	
	В	SE	β	95% CI	b	В	SE	β	95% CI	b
Marital status	0.07	0.06	0.07	-0.05 - 0.19	0.285	-0.04	0.06	-0.04	-0.18 - 0.08	0.498
Exercise on daily life	-0.00	0.03	-0.00	-0.06 - 0.05	0.908	-0.00	0.02	-0.02	-0.06-0.04	0.755
Body mass index (BMI) group	0.04	0.04	0.06	-0.03 - 0.12	0.294	-0.04	0.03	-0.08	-0.12 - 0.02	0.211
Age group	0.03	0.05	0.07	-0.05 - 0.13	0.430	-0.02	0.04	-0.05	-0.10 - 0.06	0.603
Working experience	-0.10	0.03	-0.35	-0.17 - (-0.04)	0.001^{*}	-0.01	0.02	-0.06	-0.07 - 0.03	0.571
Time spent standing in workplace	-0.03	0.05	-0.03	-0.14 - 0.07	0.545	-0.03	0.04	-0.04	-0.11 - 0.05	0.495
Time spent continuously sitting in workplace	-0.10	0.04	-0.17	-0.18 - (-0.02)	0.010^{*}	0.00	0.04	0.00	-0.07 - 0.08	0.919
Workplace	-0.02	0.00	-0.16	-0.03 - (-0.00)	0.024^{*}	-0.01	0.00	-0.10	-0.02 - 0.00	0.182
Recurrent hard activity in workplace	0.04	0.06	0.04	-0.08 - 0.17	0.467	0.09	0.06	0.09	-0.03 - 0.22	0.169
Number of breaks taken in workplace	0.01	0.03	0.01	-0.05 - 0.07	0.751	0.03	0.03	0.07	-0.02 - 0.09	0.257
Exercise in workplace	0.02	0.03	0.03	-0.05 - 0.10	0.525	0.03	0.03	0.06	-0.03 - 0.09	0.345
Lifting heavy objects in workplace	0.03	0.03	0.05	-0.03 - 0.10	0.371	-0.01	0.03	-0.02	-0.08-0.05	0.747
Stress level of work	-0.08	0.04	-0.10	-0.17 - 0.00	0.077	-0.02	0.04	-0.04	-0.10 - 0.05	0.535
Experiencing difficulties while working	-0.15	0.06	-0.15	-0.28-(-0.02)	0.021^{*}	-0.06	0.05	-0.07	-0.17 - 0.05	0.295
Head position when working at desk	-0.03	0.03	-0.07	-0.09-0.02	0.194	-0.02	0.02	-0.06	-0.08-0.02	0.328
Arm position when working at desk	-0.16	0.03	-0.27	-0.24-(-0.09)	0.000*	0.02	0.03	0.05	-0.03-0.08	0.433
Leg position when working at desk	0.02	0.03	0.04	-0.03 - 0.08	0.433	-0.01	0.02	-0.03	-0.06-0.03	0.600
Upper back position when working at desk	0.08	0.05	0.10	-0.01 - 0.19	060.0	0.06	0.04	0.09	-0.02 - 0.16	0.139
Computer position when working at desk	0.07	0.03	0.13	0.01-0.13	0.024^{*}	-0.00	0.03	-0.00	-0.07-0.06	0.950
Position of mouse when working at desk	-0.24	0.09	-0.15	-0.43-(-0.05)	0.012^{*}	-0.19	0.08	-0.15	-0.35-(-0.03)	0.016^{*}
Type of chair when working at desk	-0.07	0.03	-0.16	-0.14 - (-0.00)	0.028^{*}	0.03	0.03	0.07	-0.03 - 0.10	0.342

Table 9. Multiple regression analysis for predicting the relation between characteristics of the workers and arm pain complaints

B – regression coefficient; SE – standard error; β –standardized coefficient; CI – confidence interval. * p < 0.05.

Predictor			Fer (N =	Females $(N = 270)$				M = N)	Males $(N = 258)$	
	В	SE	β	95% CI	d	В	SE	β	95% CI	b
Marital status	0.08	0.06	0.08	-0.05 - 0.21	0.247	-0.01	0.06	-0.01	-0.14 - 0.11	0.818
Exercise on daily life	0.04	0.03	0.09	-0.01 - 0.11	0.137	0.06	0.02	0.16	0.01-0.12	0.010^{*}
Body mass index (BMI) group	0.02	0.04	0.03	-0.06 - 0.11	0.578	-0.13	0.03	-0.23	-0.20 - (-0.06)	0.000*
Age group	-0.07	0.05	-0.14	-0.18 - 0.02	0.138	-0.05	0.04	-0.13	-0.13 - 0.02	0.177
Working experience	0.01	0.03	0.05	-0.05 - 0.08	0.630	0.04	0.02	0.18	-0.00 -0.09	0.072
Time spent standing in workplace	-0.00	0.05	-0.00	-0.11 - 0.11	0.973	0.08	0.04	0.12	0.00 - 0.16	0.051
Time spent continuously sitting in workplace	-0.04	0.04	-0.06	-0.12 - 0.04	0.343	0.03	0.03	0.07	-0.03 - 0.11	0.292
Workplace	-0.02	0.01	-0.15	-0.04 - (-0.00)	0.039^{*}	-0.01	0.00	-0.14	-0.03 - 0.00	0.062
Recurrent hard activity in workplace	0.01	0.06	0.01	-0.12 - 0.14	0.832	0.06	0.06	0.06	-0.06 - 0.18	0.316
Number of breaks taken in workplace	0.05	0.03	0.09	-0.01 - 0.12	0.144	-0.01	0.02	-0.04	-0.07 - 0.03	0.531
Exercise in workplace	-0.04	0.04	-0.06	-0.12 - 0.04	0.333	0.02	0.02	0.04	-0.03 - 0.08	0.446
Lifting heavy objects in workplace	0.01	0.03	0.01	-0.06-0.08	0.778	-0.04	0.03	-0.10	-0.11 - 0.01	0.138
Stress level of work	-0.09	0.04	-0.12	-0.18 - 0.00	0.054	0.02	0.03	0.03	-0.05 - 0.09	0.559
Experiencing difficulties while working	-0.05	0.07	-0.05	-0.19 - 0.08	0.410	0.05	0.05	0.07	-0.04-0.16	0.276
Head position when working at desk	-0.00	0.03	-0.00	-0.06-0.05	0.902	-0.03	0.02	-0.07	-0.08 - 0.02	0.221
Arm position when working at desk	-0.09	0.03	-0.14	-0.16 - (-0.01)	0.021^{*}	0.03	0.03	0.06	-0.02-0.09	0.299
Leg position when working at desk	0.03	0.03	0.06	-0.03 - 0.09	0.343	-0.03	0.02	-0.08	-0.07 - 0.01	0.195
Upper back position when working at desk	0.10	0.05	0.11	-0.00 - 0.21	0.063	0.10	0.04	0.14	0.01-0.18	0.022
Computer position when working at desk	-0.04	0.03	-0.09	-0.11 - 0.02	0.165	-0.04	0.03	-0.08	-0.10 - 0.02	0.186
Position of mouse when working at desk	0.03	0.10	0.02	-0.16 - 0.24	0.707	0.01	0.07	0.01	-0.13 - 0.16	0.827
Type of chair when working at desk	0.02	0.03	0.04	-0.05 - 0.09	0.548	0.04	0.03	0.09	-0.02 - 0.10	0.189

Table 10. Multiple regression analysis for predicting the relation between characteristics of the office workers and wrist pain complaints

B – regression coefficient; SE – standard error; β –standardized coefficient; CI – confidence interval. * p < 0.05.

IJOMEH 2018;31(1)

and neck [18]. In industrialized societies, it is reported that the lifetime prevalence of lower back pain is 49–70%, and the point prevalence of lower back pain is 12–30% [19]. In a study of the Turkish population, Oksuz reported a lifetime prevalence of lower back pain of 44.1% and a point prevalence of 19.7% [20]. In a prospective cohort epidemiological study conducted on 5310 workers in Japan, it was shown that notwithstanding those with no previous complaints, work-related lower back pain could develop at a rate of 3.9% [20].

In addition, it has been stated that the increased use of computers in offices has meant that nearly 70% of workers suffer musculoskeletal problems in the upper back and neck [21]. It has been established that among women, using a computer and computer mouse for more than 6 h is correlated with pain in the neck [22]. Pain in the lower back, neck and upper back are more often seen among office workers because they are work-related illnesses [23]. It may be said that the types of disorders of the musculo-skeletal system found in this study accord with the findings of previous studies.

Another notable point in this study is that the pain complaints of nearly a half of the workers had continued for 12 months or more, but nearly 80% of these had not gone for treatment. This may result in a reduction in work performance and have an emotional effect. It has been found in a study on 3311 workers in Spain that work-related MSDs may result in workers leaving work temporarily or permanently [24].

The risk factors for MSDs include ergonomic, psychosocial and individual factors. The relationship of ergonomic and psychosocial factors has been shown. Since ergonomic risk factors may easily be eliminated by a direct approach, they predominate in prevention [25]. In this study, a significant correlation has been found among the variables of age, gender, marital status, taking regular exercise, the number of years working, head, arm leg and the mouse position while working, the type of a chair, time spent continuously sitting and stress level and the experience of pain. It has been seen in the study that the middle age group experience significant pain in the neck, leg, arm and wrist. The results of a study in which the logistic analysis was performed on 2852 people showed that an increase of one unit in age increased the risk of developing pain by 3.2% [4]. Extension of the retirement age and the progressive aging of the population mean that individuals continue to work for longer. People now in middle age will in the future form the old age group, and for this reason this significant level of pain should be taken seriously and the necessary measures should be taken.

Scientific research on MSDs has mostly reported that females are more at risk than males independently of the type of work. In a cross-sectional study performed on Swiss computer users, females have reported more symptoms in all parts of their bodies than males, and have suffered more often from harmful physical and psychosocial factors [26]. It has been reported that spending the whole working time doing the same thing has an effect on workrelated musculoskeletal complaints, for example using a computer for more than 2 years constitutes a risk factor for musculoskeletal complaints [27].

When the reasons for problems of the most frequently seen musculoskeletal system among office workers have been examined in this study, it has been found that working with the head inclined at 45° has a significant effect on lower back, upper back, shoulder and foot pain, while working with both arms above the level of the desk affected pain in the upper and lower extremities and the neck. Ergonomic deficiencies in equipment used, wrong standing and sitting positions and long working hours may cause pain in the musculoskeletal system.

In the literature, it is stated that the correct sitting position is sitting straight and upright in a chair supporting the upper and lower back, and that a large proportion of officerelated lower back pain could be avoided just with the use of lower back support [28]. Shoulders should be relaxed, and the neck should be bent forward or back with the head

turning no more than 20° . The forearms should be held below or above the level of the desk, bent at 90° at the elbow, and the forearms should be parallel with the ground and close to the body.

It is known that bending the wrists or keeping them resting on the surface of the desk may cause constriction of nerves in workers. In particular, the computer screen should be positioned so that its upper edge is below eye level, and so that the head does not need to be moved up or down much, and the neck does not need to be bent more than 30°. Also, the knees should be at the same level as or slightly higher than the hips, and the feet should be placed on a slight elevation. In this way pressure on the calves and thighs from the chair will be prevented, so that problems with circulation in the legs will be prevented, as will pain in the legs and feet [27–29].

According to the results of this study, it has been found that having the computer mouse on the desk at a distance from the keyboard has a significant effect on the experience of pain in the upper back, shoulder and arm in office workers. The way of using the computer may cause unnecessary stress in the tendons and nerves of the hands, wrists, and even the shoulders. It has been reported in the literature that the constant repetition of the same movements on the keyboard or the mouse caused by continuously writing or drawing on a computer and the use of force in these movements may result in pain in the arms and hands of office workers and lead to cumulative traumas [30,31]. We are of the opinion that in order to prevent these problems, it is important that workers should periodically rest their hands, perform exercises to stretch their fingers, and keep the keyboard in front of the computer screen.

The characteristics of chairs which office workers use for long periods may cause musculoskeletal problems if they are not ergonomically constructed. A significant difference has been found in this study between the length of time office workers spent continuously sitting in the same position in a chair and the occurrence of pain in the lower back, neck, shoulder, upper back, arm, leg and foot. Similarly, sitting in a chair with lower back and arm support has a significant effect on the experience of pain. Remaining in the same position for a long time may put the muscles under stress and reduce the blood flow. This may cause muscular fatigue among workers.

Static muscular activity may result in pain particularly in the hands and shoulders, and reduces performance [30]. It is notable in the literature that ergonomically constructed work chairs which support the head, neck, and upper and lower back significantly reduce the level of musculoskeletal problems. In offices, the five-legged chair is generally to be chosen. The chair height must be adjustable and also the chair should be capable of moving when needed [28]. In a similar way, for jobs where it is necessary to work sitting for long periods, it is emphasized that taking breaks and performing neck, arm and foot exercises on the musculoskeletal system will considerably reduce the rate of these problems [32]. It has been suggested that 10% of working time taken as rest breaks will not lower productivity [30].

Musculoskeletal problems occur not only in connection with the ergonomic construction of office equipment or the way the workers stand or sit. Along with these factors, others such as marital status, taking regular exercise, anthropometric measurements or bodily abnormalities which are independent of work may have an effect [19]. In new approaches, it is reported that physical, organizational and social factors in the workplace, physical and social aspects of life outside the workplace and personal physical and psychosocial characteristics may play a part in this process [2]. It has been shown that the use of a web camera to develop self-management for the purpose of reducing musculoskeletal disorders has a generally positive effect on male workers, and that along with ergonomic training it achieves an improvement for workers' posture after 6 weeks [33]. Although the body mass index of office workers has not been found to be significantly correlated with the frequency of pain complaints in this study, a significant relationship has been found with being married, not taking exercise in daily

life, and reporting a moderate or high level of stress in the workplace.

It has been shown in the literature that an ergonomic approach, the provision of a suitable and comfortable work environment and exercise training are effective in correcting posture disorders and musculoskeletal problems and in reducing psychosocial risk factors [34–36]. In the reduction of workplace stress, it is of great importance to carry out appropriate ergonomic changes, to increase organizational support and job satisfaction, to make the workload appropriate in order to reduce pressure of work, and to create opportunities to increase social support in the workplace.

CONCLUSIONS

From the findings of this study, it has been concluded that among office workers who have been at their desks continuously for long periods, head and arm posture, the position of the computer mouse, the type of a chair used, age, and taking regular exercise in daily life and in the office environment have an effect on the frequently observed problems of pain in the lower back, upper back, shoulder, neck, arm and foot. In the light of these results, it is recommended that:

- Importance should be attached to providing facilities and activities to help office workers to acquire the habit of physical activity, and to create awareness of ergonomics.
- Diagnostic systems should be provided for work-related illnesses in Turkey, statistical data should be gathered and laws should be updated.
- Work should be carried out to help Turkish society acquire the habit of regular physical activity by means of brochures, television programs, etc.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Uğur Tüfekçi and Mesut Dağınık for their help in gathering data, and the office workers in the institutions where the research was conducted.

REFERENCES

- Punnet L, Wegman DH. Work-related muscoleteral disorders: The epidemiologic evidence and debate. J Electromyogr Kinesiol. 2004;14:13–23, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jele kin.2003.09.015.
- National Research Council, Institute of Medicine. Musculoskeletal disorders and the workplace: Low back and upper extremities. Washington: National Academy Press; 2001. p. 364–7, https://doi.org/10.17226/10032.
- Philips SJ, Whisnant JP. Hypertension and stroke. In: Laragh JH, Brenner BM, editors. Hypertension: Pathophysiology, diagnosis, and management. 2nd ed. New York: Reven Press; 1995. p. 465–78.
- Marcus M, Gerr F, Monteilh C, Ortiz DJ, Gentry E, Cohen S, et al. A prospective study of computer users: II. Postural risk factors for musculoskeletal symptoms and disorders. Am J Ind Med. 2002;41(4):236–49, https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.10067.
- Cimbiz A, Uzgören N, Aras Ö, Öztürk S, Elem E, Aksoy CC. [Determination of musculoskeletal pain risk factors using logistic regression analysis: A pilot study]. Turk J Physiother Rehabil. 2007;18(1):20–7. Turkish.
- Randall R, Griffiths A, Cox T, Welsh C. The activation of mechanisms linking judgements of work design and management with musculoskeletal pain. Ergonomics. 2002; 45(1):13–31, https://doi.org/10.1080/00140130110110593.
- Melhorn JM, Gardner P. How we prevent prevention of musculoskeletal disorders in the workplace. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2004;419:285–96, https://doi.org/10.1097/00003086-200402000-00045.
- Amel T, Kumar S. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders: Design as a prevention strategy. A review. J Occup Rehabil. 2001;11(4):255–64, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013344508217.
- Özcan E, Kesiktaş N. [Prevention of occupational musculoskeletal disorders and ergonomics]. Saf Health. 2007;34:6–9. Turkish.
- Yilmaz F, Şahin F, Kuran B. [Work-related musculoskeletal disorders and treatment]. Nobel Med. 2006;2(3):15–22. Turkish.

- Coenen P, Kingma I, Boot CRL, Douwes M, Bongers BM, van Dieën JH. Work-site musculoskeletal pain risk estimates by trained observers – A prospective cohort study. Ergonomics. 2012;55(11):1373–81, https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139. 2012.709540.
- Atasoy A, Keskin F, Başkesen N, Tekingündüz S. [Occupational musculoskeletal system troubles and assessment of ergonomic risks in laboratory staff]. Perform Qual Health. 2010;1(2):90–113. Turkish.
- U.S. Department of Labor Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Ergonomics: The study of work [Internet]. Washington: The Department; 2000 [cited 2016 Jan 22]. Available from: https://www.osha.gov/Publications/osha3125.pdf.
- Crawford JO. The Nordic musculoskeletal questionnaire. Occup Med. 2007;57(4):300–1, https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kqm036.
- Godin G, Shepard R. Godin leisure-time exercise questionnaire. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1997;29(6):36–8.
- Kriska AM. Modifiable activity questionnaire. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1997;29(6):73–8.
- Stewart T. Ergonomics standards concerning human-system interaction: Visual displays, controls and environmental requirements. Appl Ergon. 1995;26(4):271–4, https://doi. org/10.1016/0003-6870(95)00031-7.
- Pols MA, Peeters PH, Bueno-De-Mesquita HB, Ocke MC, Wentink CA, Kemper HC, et al. Validity and repeatability of a modified Baecke Questionnaire on physical activity. Int J Epidemiol. 1995;24(2):381–8, https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/ 24.2.381.
- Van Tulder M, Koes B, Bombardier C. Low back pain. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2002;16(5):761–75, https://doi. org/10.1053/berh.2002.0267.
- 20. Oksuz E. Prevalence, risk factors, and preference-based health states of low back pain in a Turkish population. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2006;31(25):968–72, https://doi. org/10.1097/01.brs.0000247787.25382.3c.
- Matsudaira K, Konishi H, Miyoshi K, Isomura T, Takeshita K, Hara N, et al. Potential risk factors for new-onset of back

pain disability in Japanese workers: Findings from the Japan epidemiological research of occupation-related back pain study. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2012;37:1324–33, https://doi. org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e3182498382.

- 22. Başakçi Çalik B, Telli Atalay O, Başkan E, Gökçe B. [Analyzing musculoskeletal system discomfort, work interference and risk factors of office workers with computer users]. J Marmara Univ Inst Health Sci. 2013;3(4):208–14, https://doi.org/10.5455/musbed.20131215111048. Turkish.
- Johnston V, Souvlis T, Jimmieson NL, Jull G. Associations between individual and workplace risk factors for self-reported neck pain and disability among female office workers. Appl Ergon. 2008;39(2):171–82, https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.apergo.2007.05.011.
- Eryavuz M, Akkan A. [Assessment of low back pain risk factors in factory employees]. Turk J Phys Med Rehab. 2003;49(5):58–68. Turkish.
- Abásolo L, Lajas C, León L, Carmona L, Macarrón P, Candelas G, et al. Prognostic factors for long-term work disability due to musculoskeletal disorders. Rheumatol Int. 2012; 32(12):3831–9, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-011-2264-5.
- Türkkan A. [Work-related musculoskeletal diseases and socioeconomic inequality]. Uludağ Med J. 2009;35(2):101–6. Turkish.
- 27. Karlqvista L, Tornqvista EW, Hagbergb M, Hagmana M, Toomingasa A. Self-reported working conditions of VDU operators and associations with musculoskeletal symptoms: A cross-sectional study focusing on gender differences. Int J Ind Ergon. 2002;30(4–5):277–94, https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0169-8141(02)00131-2.
- Doğan A, Tekindal B, Baran G, Özgirgin N. [Musculoskeletal disorders and ergonomics among computer users]. Tur J Occup Environ Med. 2011;11(41):45–52. Turkish.
- Robertson M, Amick BC, DeRango K, Rooney T, Bazzani L, Harrist R, et al. The effects of an office ergonomics training and chair intervention on worker knowledge, behaviour and musculoskeletal risk. Appl Ergon. 2009;40(1): 124–35, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2007.12.009.

- Yakut H. [A case study ergonomic awarenesses and usage habits on using office equipment of office workers]. Süleyman Demirel Univ J Inst Social Sci. 2013;1:85–96. Turkish.
- Ulusam S, Kurt M, Dülgeroğlu D. [Cumulative trauma disorders among computer users]. Tur J Occup Environ Med. 2001;2(6):26–32. Turkish.
- 32. Özcan E, Esmaeilzadeh S, Başat H. [Upper extremity workrelated musculoskeletal disorders among computer users and effectiveness of ergonomic interventions]. Turk J Phys Med Rehab. 2011;57(4):236–41, https://doi.org/10.4274/tftr. 49091. Turkish.
- Ulucan HF, Zeyrek S. [Occupational health and safety in the office] [Internet]. Ankara: Institute of Occupational Health and Safety Directorate; 2012 [cited 2016 Jan 22]. Available

from: http://www.isgum.gov.tr/rsm/file/isgdoc/IG14-ofislerde_ isg.pdf. Turkish.

- 34. Taieb-Maimon M, Cwikel J, Shapira B, Orenstein I. The effectiveness of a training method using self-modeling webcam photos for reducing musculoskeletal risk among office workers using computers. Appl Ergon. 2012;43(2):376–85, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2011.05.015.
- Levanon Y, Gefen A, Lerman Y, Givon U, Ratzon NZ. Reducing musculoskeletal disorders among computer operators: Comparison between ergonomics interventions at the workplace. Ergonomics. 2012;55(12):1571–85, https://doi. org/10.1080/00140139.2012.726654.
- Özcan E, Esmaeilzadeh S, Bölükbaş N. [Work related musculoskeletal disorders and therapy]. Nobel Med. 2007;3(1): 12–7. Turkish.

This work is available in Open Access model and licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Poland License – http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/pl/deed.en.